

REMARKS

Applicant has amended each of the independent claims 5, 8 and 18, to clarify an ambiguity in the claim language. This amendment recites the fact that the closed chamber is formed between the lenticular screen and the display. As previously written, each of these claims required that the closed chamber be formed “over the lenticules.” However, applicant’s disclosure also makes clear that the lenticular screen could have two different orientations – one where the lenticules face toward the display screen, and one where the lenticules face away from the display screen. These different orientations are specifically claimed in dependent claims 9-10 and 19-20. Thus, the phrase “over the lenticules” as used in independent claims 5, 8, and 18 was ambiguous and has been replaced to provide better clarity with regard to the invention. Applicant submits that this amendment does not raise new issues or require a new search, but merely puts the claims in better form for appeal, and does not require more than a cursory review by the Examiner.

It is believed that the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) is not required for this submission, but if that conclusion is in error, then the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee fro a small entity to our Deposit Account No. 04-0822.

Respectfully submitted,

DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP



By:

Richard A. Nebb
Reg. No. 33,540

Dated: April 22, 2005

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1450
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 705-6377 tel
(415) 705-6383 fax
rnebb@dergnoah.com